

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/873,757	Applicant(s) EKWURIBE ET AL.	
	Examiner Jeffrey E. Russel	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey E. Russel.

(2) Shawna Cannon Lemon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1654

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Reviewed fax of proposed supplemental amendment. Proposed claims 124 and 127 appear to duplicate claims 108 and 111, respectively, and in proposed claims 130, 131, 133, and 134, 'method' needs to be changed to 'mixture', but otherwise the claims would be allowable..